

DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669
City Attorney
ELIZABETH S. SALVESON, State Bar #83788
Chief Labor Attorney
JONATHAN ROLNICK, State Bar #151814
RAFAL OFIERSKI, State Bar #194798
Deputy City Attorneys
1390 Market Street, Fifth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
Tel: (415) 554-3859
Fax: (415) 554-4248
Attorneys for Defendant
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Daniel H. Bromberg (SBN 242659)
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
555 Twin Dolphin Drive 5th Floor
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
Tel: (650) 801-5000
Fax: (650) 801-5100
Attorneys for Plaintiff JON GRAY

Lawrence D. Murray (SBN 77536)
MURRAY & ASSOCIATES
1781 Union Street
San Francisco, CA 94123
Tel: (415) 673-0555
Fax: (415) 928-4084
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS (Except Jon Gray)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARCY AMBAT, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. C 07-3622 SI

**STIPULATED DISMISSAL OF
ACTION WITH PREJUDICE
PURSUANT TO FRCP 41 AND ORDER
FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE**

CMC DATE: January 22, 2016
Time: 3:00 p.m.
Judge: Hon. Susan Illston
Place: Courtroom #10
19th Floor

I. STATUS OF CASE AND REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

The parties have agreed to settle this matter. The Settlement Agreement has been fully executed by all plaintiffs that remain in this action and by the Sheriff of San Francisco. The settlement has been approved by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors and the Mayor of San Francisco, and the payments called for by the Settlement Agreement have been made.

Paragraph 9 of the Settlement Agreement states that within three (3) court days after both receiving a fully executed copy of the agreement and being notified that the agreement has been approved by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, plaintiffs will dismiss this action in its entirety and with prejudice by filing a “Stipulated Dismissal With Prejudice” pursuant to FRCP 41.

Therefore, pursuant to the Settlement Agreement of the parties, the parties file this stipulated dismissal with prejudice and request that this Court dismiss this action with prejudice.

DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney
ELIZABETH SALVESON
Chief Labor Attorney
JONATHAN ROLNICK
Deputy City Attorney
RAFAL OFIERSKI
Deputy City Attorney

January 20, 2016

By: /s/ Jonathan Rolnick
JONATHAN ROLNICK
Attorneys for Defendant
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN

January 20, 2016

By: /s/ Daniel Bromberg
DANIEL BROMBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff JON GRAY

MURRAY & ASSOCIATES

January 20, 2016

By: /s/Lawrence D. Murray
LAWRENCE MURRAY
Attorney for PLAINTIFFS (Except Jon Gray)

ORDER ON REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

The Court having considered the parties' stipulated Request for Dismissal with Prejudice,
GOOD CAUSE APPEARING:

This matter is ordered dismissed with prejudice with each party bearing its own fees and costs
as agreed by the parties.

January 21, 2016

By: 
United States District Court Judge
District Court of Northern District of California

Attestation Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5.1

I, Jordan R. Jaffe, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to are used to make this filing, attest that in accordance with Civil Local Rule 5.1, concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other signatories.

Date: January 20, 2016

/s/ Jordan R. Jaffe

Jordan R. Jaffe